Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,907	RICH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael G. Hartley	1618
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Michael G. Hartley</u> .	(3)	
(2) Scott D. Rothenberger (App. repr.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 September 2005 Time:		
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 1-24 and 26-49, especially 8, 14-16, 24, 33, 39, 40, 41 and 49		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
11/Ng KIA		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner called to suggest some minor changes to be made by examiner's amendment to provisonally place the case in condition for allowance. The changes included deleting the semicolon in claims 8, 33 & 41 to clarify that the Markush group defined includes only the two dl-alpha toopheryl compounds, and substituting comprising with "wherein the formulation further comprises" to claims 14, 15, 24, 2940 and 49 to clarify the claims.